Principles of Nuclear Safety

<u>Module 7</u>

LICENSING PRINCIPLES & SAFETY ASSUMPTIONS

Slide 1

Risk = *Frequency X Consequences*

- Therefore, for a defined limit of risk, the more serious the consequences, the lower the tolerable frequency of occurrence
- This concept can be shown on a graph of frequency versus consequences

Frequency vs Consequences Diagram



Stide 3

Basic Requirement on License Applicants

- To provide evidence that public dose risks are within licensing limits
 - chronic risks due to normal operation
 - acute risks due to nuclear accidents
- Licensing limits apply to both frequency and consequences
- The Utility completes a Safety Analysis and publishes the results in the Safety Report

Siting Guide

- An AECB document
- Defines limits of acceptable risk to Public:
 - due to chronic and acute (accidental) releases
 - serious process system failure frequency (<1/3y)

-

- Special safety system unavailability (<10-3)
- individual & population dose limits for single & dual failures

Slide 5

Siting Guide Limits on Public Risk

Operating Condition	Maximum Frequency	Individual Does Limits	Population Dose Limits
Normal operation	Continuous	0.5 renn'y whole body	104 man-remly
Serious process system failure (single failure)	1 per 3 years	3 rerrity to thyroid*	104 thyroid-renny
Serious process system failure coincident with a failure of a special aafety system (dual failure)	1 per1,000 years	25 rem whole body; 250 rem to thyroid**	10° man-rem 10° thyroid-rem

Additional Siting Guide Requirements

- Each Special Safety System tested to <u>demonstrate</u> Q < 10⁻³
- Independence amongst SSSs themselves, and between SSSs and process systems, to prevent failures from escalating
- Failure rate estimates based on real operating experience
- Design & maintain to ASME, ANSI & CSA codes & standards
- Life cycle QA program per CSA standards

Slide 7

Consultative Document C-6

"Requirements for the Safety Analysis of CANDU NPPs"

- An evolution of the Siting Guide
- Used for licensing Darlington
- Defines 5 classes of failures
 - to the Siting Guide's 2 classes--single and dual
 - corresponding to 5 regions of acceptable public risk on the frequency-consequence graph
 - class 2 and 5 events correspond roughly to single and dual failures, respectively

Why is Change Approval Necessary?

- > Changes can have unintended impact on Nuclear Safety
 - due to unforeseen effects on other systems,
 - due to impaired capability under abnormal conditions
 - due to unanalyzed configuration
- Management Supervisors can authorize minor deviations to procedures
- Otherwise, minimum level to approve changes is SS
- Manager approves jumpers to Special Safety Systems
- AECB approves changes affecting safety analysis assumptions

Slide 3

Why must Changes be Procedurally Controlled?

- ► to facilitate good configuration management
 - ➤ ie, consistency between actual field conditions and those described in the licensing documents
 - ie, between the *real* plant and the *paper* plant
- ➤ to preserve the integrity of the safety analysis
 - ➤ ie, to ensure changes do not invalidate the safety analysis, nor compromise design intent
- ➤ to ensure compliance with legal codes & standards

SS's Concerns when Approving Changes

- ► Will the change give the desired result with minimal risk?
- Will it affect the 3C's (including abnormal conditions)?
 - \succ complies with OP&Ps? PROL?
- ► Are operating instructions adequate?
- ► Do staff need retraining?
- Are tests/verifications specified to ensure that the change will meet performance expectations?
- What notifications are required?
- > Have the necessary reviews & approvals been obtained?

Slide 5

CRO Change Control Responsibility

- ► to log the change, and include it in shift turnover
- ➤ to become familiar with any new operating requirements
- ➤ to inform SS of any concerns--eg, unexpected operational effects

Event Class	Event Frequency (Occurrences per reactor-year)	Individual Do Whole Body rem	se Limit Thyroid rem
1	> 10 ⁻²	0.05	0.5
2	10 ⁻³ to 10 ⁻²	0.5	5
3	10 ⁻⁴ to 10 ⁻³	3	30
4	10 ⁻⁴ to 10 ⁻⁵	10	100
5	< 10 ⁻⁵	25	250

C-6 Limits of Public Risk

Slide 9

Siting Factors affecting Licensing

- Demographics affects population dose for a given release
 - population density
 - land use (residential, industrial, park land...)
- Meteorological conditions affect public dose due to chronic emissions
 - prevailing wind direction
 - average wind velocity as a function of direction
 - precipitation pattern
- Seismic stability affects risk of common mode incidents
 - DBE qualification of key process & safety systems

The safety Analysis

Includes: -Safety Report

-Safety Design Matrices -Probability Risk Assessments

Demonstrates to AECB that plant design

- meets serious process failure frequency < 1/3y
- meets individual & population dose limits for single & dual failures

Slide 11

Safe Operating Envelope

- Defined by the safety analysis assumptions
- Embodied in the OP&P
- To operate outside of the SOE is to operate in a state which has not been analyzed to be safe, and violates the Operating License

Examples of Safety Analysis Assumptions

- 1) HT lower isotopic limit
 - limits fuel channel void coefficient
- 2) HT I-131 inventory limit
 - limits public thyroid dose in event of LOCA via boiler tube rupture
- 3) Reactivity banking limit
 - limits effect of diluting moderator poison during in-core LOCA
- 4) Reactivity mechanism configuration

- ensures adequate SDS protection

Slide 13

Safety Design Matrices

- Developed in late 1970's to refine Siting Guide approach, accounted for...
 - more event combinations, including human error
 - widely differing event frequencies
 - cross-link failures--eg, safety support systems
 - common mode events
- Event tree for each Design Basis Accident
- Event tree terminated when safe state reached, or when frequency < 10⁻⁷

Prababilistic Risk Assessments

- Developed mid 1980's
- Still more comprehensive accounting for...
 - initiating event combinations
 - human reliability
 - validation of assumptions
- Quantify both public and economic risk
 - Estimated Darlington annual public dose risk (rem/y) due to accidents was less than that due to normal emission targets, and annual economic risk (costs of repairs and replacement power) were a small fraction of annual operating costs

Slide 15

SS Responsibilities re Safety Analysis

- know the limitations on the validity of the safety analysis
- continually confirm that plant is operating within those limitations

The Power Reactor Operating License (PROL)

- Issued by the AECB
- Contract between the Utility and AECB as to how the station will be operated
- Specifies about 20 generic conditions under which the license is granted

Slide 17

Generic Conditions of PROL

- Comply with the OP&P
- Secure access to fissionable material
- AECB to authorize Key positions
- Maintain minimum complement
- Maintain & drill emergency procedures
- Limit bundle, channel & reactor power

Generic Conditions of PROL II

- SDS trip set points fixed at approved values
- Radioactive emissions monitored & controlled
- Obey all relevant Provincial laws
- AECB to approve all uses of exclusion zone
- Maintenance standards to be rigorous
- Actions on Utility completed expeditiously

Slide 19

Generic Conditions of PROL III

- Test to substantiate claimed system reliability
- AECB to approve SSS changes
- AECB to approve changing nature of hazards
- AECB to approve fuel design
- Report plant performance per R-99
- Maintain records--O&M, tests, SERs
- Keep register of all licensing documentation

Licensed Staff Positions

- Operations Manager
- Production (Generating Units) Manager
- Engineering Services Manager
- Senior Health Physicist
- SS/SOS/ANO on shift

Ensures key personnel have appropriate knowledge, experience and commitment to operate safely

Slide 21

Minimum Complement

Provides capability to:

- 1. Operate plant safely under normal conditions
- 2. Perform emergency response operations credited in the safety analysis
 - event diagnosis, manual initiation of NPC...
 - execution of AIM procedures
 - execution of radiation emergency procedures (notifications, search & rescue, off-site surveys, public dose projection, ...)