AECL EACL

AECL CANDU 9 PLANT CONTROL

- Migration from DCC to DCS Architecture
- Overview of DCC Features
- Functionality Replacement by DCS
- Controls & Display Integration Strategy
- Control Centre Improved Operability
- Simulation Use in the Design Process
- Simulation use for Plant Dynamic Models
- Plant Dynamic Models Analysis
- Status of the DCS design initiative



MIGRATION from DCC to DCS

- Reference Design Annunciation, Displays and Computer Controls in a central dual minicomputer set
- CANDU 9 allocates these functions to dedicated separate computers for control (DCS), display (PDS), annunciation and calculation/service routines
 - At least minimum DCC functionality retained
 - Now no practical memory constraints for these applications
 - Now no execution limitations for these applications
 - More structured S/W design and management can be applied



OVERVIEW of DCC Control FEATURES

- Good operational reliability achieved (99.5%)
- Field signals wired to dual computer I/O subsystems
- All major control programs executing in each DCC
- Single control programs can fail-over to the standby DCC
- Master computer failure requires all programs to transfer
- Defined Failure status for program or computer failure
- Tuneable parameters can be adjusted during commissioning



FUNCTIONALITY REPLACEMENT by DCS

- Design DCS reliability target raised to 99.9%
- DCS architecture based on partitions derived from an independence assessment of control requirements
- Channelized device level stations for I/O
- Redundant group control can access all data (A,B,C or X&Y)
- Group 1 control programs executing in separate DCS partitions on redundant processors
- Master processor failure causes an automatic transfer to the associated standby processor
- Previous Dual Computer stall has now been reduced to the equivalent impact of a DCC dual program stall for that partition
- Defined Failure status for program or computer failure same as DCC but can now be enhanced
- Tuneable parameters can be adjusted during commissioning

A

Controls & Display INTEGRATION STRATEGY

- Systematic Design process requirements, function analysis, function allocation, task analysis
- Application of a consistent hard/soft philosophy
- Extensive verification and validation sequences
- Utilization of simulation and mock-ups for testing/evaluations
- Ensure that necessary information is presented in an appropriate manner within the needed time context for successful performance

A

Control Centre IMPROVED OPERABILITY

- Improved operator workstation interfacing
 - central consoles with access to safety systems, testing,annunciation, plant control displays, critical safety parameters, critical production indicators
- Standardization
 - → Standard panels for NSP, BOP and FHC
 - Standard display and data presentation philosophy
 - General appearance, meaning and operability methods
 - Panel position, colours, light indicators, HSs, VDU displays, etc
 - Minimize assimilation time & reduce perception errors
 Hard/Soft function allocations

page 6



Control Centre IMPROVED OPERABILITY

- Improved Operator Awareness
 - Computerized annunciation with filtering & prioritizing
 - Overview Display to present high level unit status
 - Flexible, user friendly display navigation system
 - Computer Systems 'System Health Displays'
- Enhanced Data Presentations
 - Plant-wide common database
 - allows monitoring, checking, display & annunciation
 - decrease operator work load for cross checking
 - signature value comparison checks
 - powerful calculation capabilities rate and margin values
 - provision of additional lead time for event responses
 - predictive maintenance capabilities



SIMULATION USE in the DESIGN PROCESS

- Pentium based PC simulation
- Interfaced to DCS, PDS and the Mock-up panels
- Used as a tool in the design & testing evaluation
- Disable control emulations to evaluate DCS control codes
- Integrated control, display & annunciation can be evaluated
- Operating procedures can be assessed



SIMULATION USE for Plant Dynamic Models

- Consistent with EPRI 3.1.3.5 Analysis Requirements, suitable for:
- Overall plant control automatic responses
- Individual control system reponses
- Evaluating operator actions and interventions
- Analyzing plant steady state & transient behaviour
- Confirming the automatic/operator control allocation
- Confirming completeness & correctness of control schemes
- Operating procedures can be developed & assessed

page 9



SIMULATION USE for Plant Dynamic Models

- Consistent with EPRI 3.1.3.5 Analysis Requirements, key features:
- Assessed against applicable commissioning test data for DNGS-A and BNGS-B stations
- Developed at the start of the design integration phase
- Simulation runs on a general purpose PC
- Simulation model is well documented

Plant Dynamic Models Analysis

- Consistent with EPRI 3.1.3.5 Analysis Requirements, key features:
- Evaluate control strategies under normal, abnormal, upset & emergency conditions
- Confirm the adequacy of conceptual and detailed control designs
- Confirmation of the control strategy functional adequacy
- Confirmation of proposed tuning parameters numbers & ranges
- Ensures adequate test suites are compiled
- Allows modifications to be incorporated within the test suite
- Simplifies documentation of test results