

**STATISTICAL ESTIMATION OF THE UNAVAILABILITY
OF THE MNR SAFETY AMPLIFIER**

**By
IMRE VENCEL**

A Thesis

Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies

in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree

Master of Engineering

McMaster University

© Copyright by Imre Vencel, May 2000

MASTER OF ENGINEERING (1999)
(Engineering Physics)

McMaster University
Hamilton, Ontario

TITLE: Statistical Estimation of the Unavailability of the MNR Safety Amplifier

AUTHOR: Imre Vencel, B.Sc. (Belgrade University)

SUPERVISOR: Professor Wm. J. Garland

NUMBER OF PAGES: xii, 161

ABSTRACT

Unavailability of the McMaster Nuclear Reactor (MNR) Safety Amplifier is calculated and showed to be within acceptable limits. Treated as a system of moderate complexity, the MNR Safety Amplifier reliability parameters are studied in detail utilizing a fault tree technique. This technique is recommended by IAEA and widely adopted in nuclear engineering as a main route for performing probabilistic risk assessment. A fault tree is developed and reliability parameters are calculated using a commercial software application FaultTree+TM. Component failure data were collected from IAEA technical documents or other reliable sources when available. Uncertainty and importance analyses have been performed. In addition to the failure rates obtained from external documents, the Safety Amplifier checkout procedures were incorporated into the effective repair rates, thus forming the complete model for the basic events of the fault tree. The cut-set analysis methodology was utilized in order to assure that the final results would be reasonably biased, i.e. conservative enough. For the same reason, only the reliability data with established bounds of confidence were used. The resulting reliability parameters indicate that the failures of the Safety Amplifier caused by external means may be much more frequent than those caused by random failures of the individual components.

TM FaultTree+ is a trademark of Isograph Ltd.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many people have helped me in one way or another in the preparation of this thesis. I wish to thank my supervisor, William J. Garland, for his much-valued critical readings and constant support during the writing of this thesis. My thanks go also to my colleges Simon Day, John Kennedy, and Robert Pasuta, for their comments and constructive suggestions. Special thanks go to my parents, without whose continued encouragement and support this work would have never been completed.

I want to express my appreciation for the financial support provided by the Engineering Physics Department and School of Graduate Studies at McMaster University. Also, I am grateful for the financial support provided by the Ontario Ministry of Education and Training Student Opportunity Trust Funds Program. This financial support came from the funds established by family, friends and colleagues of Robert John Morris.

Contents

Introduction	1
---------------------------	----------

Chapter 1. MNR Safety Amplifier Decomposition

1.1. Introduction to Fault Tree Analysis	10
1.2. Uncertainty Propagation in a Fault Tree	12
1.3. Modular Decomposition. Threads	15
1.4. Repairable Systems with Supervision	24
1.5. Subsystems and the Unit Prototype	28
1.6. I/O Modes	33
1.7. Insufficiencies of the Traditional Reliability Theory	34
1.8. Reliability Functions of the Multi-input Systems	38
1.9 Initiating Events that may lead to Improbable Failures of the Safety Amplifier	41

Chapter 2. MNR Safety Amplifier Subsystem Analysis

2.1. UIC Subsystem	42
2.2. SDA Subsystem	44

2.3. SRY Subsystem	51
2.4. Slow Scram Subsystem Interdependencies	55
2.4.1. Calculation of Availabilities by Event Space	
Decomposition Method	55
2.4.2. Calculation of Availabilities by Minimal Cut Set	
Approximation Method	59
2.5. Divider	62
2.6. FSP Subsystem	66
2.7. Implementation of the Dual Filament Burn-Out Feature	
that has Acceptably Low Resulting Unavailability	68
2.8. Fast vs. Slow Scram: R49 Failure Case	70

Chapter 3. Uncertainty and Related Issues

3.1. Uncertainty	78
3.1.1. Origins of Uncertainty	78
3.1.2. Models with Independent Second Moment	80
3.2. Minimal Cut Set Method	81
3.2.1. Minimal Cut Set Approximation	81
3.2.2. The Bias	83
3.3. Confidence	86
3.3.1. Interval estimation	86
3.3.2. How does the FaultTree+ Calculate the Confidence?	87
3.4. Are we too conservative?	87

3.5. Sensitivity	88
3.6. Importance	90
3.6.1. Deterministic view	90
3.6.2. Stochastic view	91
3.6.3. Other Importance Measures	92
 Chapter 4. Common Cause Failures	
4.1. Power Supply	96
4.2. Operator Error	97
4.3. Failure on Demand	98
4.4. External Common Cause Failures	102
4.5. Beta Factor Method	103
 Chapter 5. Results of the Fault Tree Analysis	
5.1. Component Reliability Data	105
5.1.1. Conversion Factors	105
5.1.2. Reliability Database	107
5.2. Basic Event Model Construction	108
5.3. List of Results	109
 Conclusion	 152
Appendix 1: Principle of Total Probability	156
Appendix 2: An Example of an Incoherent System	158

List of References	160
---------------------------------	-----

List of Figures

Figure 1-1. System in the standby parallel mode	11
Figure 1-2. Fault Tree Symbols	12
Figure 1-3. The comparison between absolute and relative sizes of intersections for various event-frequency pairs	13
Figure 1-4. The connectivity between the UIC chambers and the Safety Amplifier	17
Figure 1-5. Fault trees of the Safety Amplifier	19
Figure 1-6. MNR Safety Amplifier Electronic Circuit	21
Figure 1-7. MNR Safety Amplifier Success Signal Propagation Chart	22
Figure 1-8. MNR Safety Amplifier Success Signal Propagation Chart, Matrix Form	23
Figure 1-9. Timeline Diagram of a System with Two Active Parallel Units	25
Figure 1-10. Typical Unit of the Safety Amplifier	28
Figure 1-11. Signal Flow and Information Channels	30
Figure 1-12. Symbol Denoting the Typical Unit in Signal Flow Charts	32
Figure 1-13. Multiple Inputs Enclosed in a Supergraph	40
Figure 2-1. UIC-1 Unit	43
Figure 2-2. SDA-1 Unit	45

Figure 2-3. Operating U-I Characteristics with CAS on the Interface	49
Figure 2-4. Parallel Thread Designed as: Dormant Closed Line (DCL, left), and Dormant Opened Line (DOL, right)....	51
Figure 2-5. SRY-1 Unit	53
Figure 2-6. SSS-1 Reliability Graph and a Sample Cut Set	61
Figure 2-7. DIV Subsystem	62
Figure 2-8. Predicted Failure Rates of Fixed Composition Resistors	63
Figure 2-9. V3 (or FSP-1) Unit	67
Figure 2-10. Figure 2-10. Equivalent Single Filament Circuit for Dual Filament Burn-out	70
Figure 3-1. Reliability Graph	82
Figure 5-1. Top Portion of the Safety Amplifier Fault Tree (UIC Input)	113
Figure 5-2. Top Portion of the Safety Amplifier Fault Tree (Log-N Input)	114
Figure 5-3. FSP Subsystem Fault Tree Branch: 2.5 Out of 4 Logic	115
Figure 5-4. FSP Subsystem Fault Tree Branch (Simplified)	116
Figure 5-5. FST Subsystem Fault Tree Branch	117
Figure 5-6. SST Subsystem Fault Tree Branch (Collapsed)	118
Figure 5-7. SST Subsystem Fault Tree Branch (Expanded)	119
Figure 5-8. UIC Subsystem Fault Tree Branch	120
Figure 5-9. Safety Amplifier Fault Tree (UIC Input, Expanded)	121

List of Tables

Table 1-1. Components of the Typical Unit That Correspond to Different Modular Units	31
Table 2-1. Electronic Systems Reliability	64
Table 5-1. Safety Amplifier Root Event Summary	110
Table 5-2. Safety Amplifier Root Importance Ranking	111
Table 5-3. Minimal Cut Sets with Highest Unavailabilities	111
Table 5-4. Gate Summary	122