

This Plenary session is on *Human Resources and Infrastructure—Meeting the Challenge*, which begs the question: What challenge? You need people? How many? For what? When? What level and type of training and education? Do you know the answers to these questions? Well, do you? If you don't know the answers to these questions, then who does? So tell me, what sort of Highly Qualified Personnel should universities and colleges provide? An answer of "Lots of all kinds of people now" is a useless answer. It is too imprecise.

I have been involved with the University Network of Excellence for Nuclear Engineering (UNENE) for the past 6 years and have been a professor in Nuclear Engineering for the past 25 years. I have asked these questions repeatedly and have not received answers that have any significant level of precision in them. So I am giving up asking. We are trying to develop models but it seems we cannot be predictive in anything other than the broadest terms.

So if we cannot be predictive, we will need to be adaptive. Just in time delivery and all that. We need agility.



So today I'll talk a bit

- -About what hasn't worked
- -About a notion called Mastery and why it is important
- -About what systems we have in place to produce these agile minds.

But mostly, I want to talk about the agile minds themselves. We may not be able to talk about the house we need to build but we can talk about the carpenter and his tools who builds that house. We can talk about the notions of Mastery, of Tacit Knowledge, of Caring. We can talk about something called Emergence.





10 Case studies:

- Tokaimura/TEPCO (Japan)
- GRS (Germany)
- TVA (US)
- EPRI (US)
- NRC (US)
- IAEA
- BNFL (UK)
- EdF (France)
- Temelin (Czech Republic)
- Tractebel (Belgium)



List of possible ways knowledge can be implemented incorrectly:

-Not properly weighing the risk of pushing a design too far

-Not ensuring the organizational structure has appropriate access to knowledge

-Ie you knew the technical limitations but didn't factor it in properly

-False confidence

-In short – the experience factor

-It seems that the problem is not so much our limited knowledge as it is the failure to adopt a <u>mindset that works with and within</u> the limits of our knowledge.





Let me tell you about one particular carpenter. He was born in 1922 and grew up in Ochre Pit Cove, a little fishing village on the east coast of Nfld. His father had to work on the mainland some of the time – usually Boston - to get some cash money. So, still a boy, he tended to the horse, the cow, got the wood in for the winter and so on. He stops his formal schooling at grade 8. Few in his era and location went farther. He helped his father make caskets. Ernest Perfect and Son, Casket Makers. His mother made the shrouds. Then, as a young man he had the good fortune to get hired on in the big city, St. John's, as a carpenter apprentice for the provincial government. His boss was a perfectionist and it was with him that he mastered his trade. Just like his carpenter's rule, his life unfolded. Structured but flexible. About 72 inches tall.

I happened to marry his daughter and I became a willing but not so able apprentice. My upbringing was totally different. Born and raised in the city and had lots of formal education. I tried my best but there was no way I could become Gordon Perfect, master carpenter. No way. I might clone his techniques perfectly, but I would not clone him. I never experienced a horse and cart in the deep woods snow and I never solved any of the related problems. So I could never solve carpentry problems like he did. But he never had advanced mathematics and he could not resolve the forces in a free body diagram. So I could do some things that he could not.

My point is that he did not transfer knowledge to me so much as <u>he</u> <u>encouraged it to emerge in a way that made sense to me</u>. That's what his teacher taught him and that is what he taught me. Tacit Knowledge Emerges. No wonder that past efforts on Tacit Knowledge Transfer in the nuclear industry has failed miserably.



So how long does it take to master a discipline?

Well, let's assume this carpenter's rule is a lifetime. 3 score and 12 years. Okay, we can expect to live longer than that but let's assume that most of us will want to slow down by age 72.

What's the average age in this audience? 48? Let's say that because the rule bends at 48.

By 66 the average person is retired.

So, we have this much of our life left, on average, to do something productive.

It takes 10 years to master a subject. I'd say we are at severe risk of irreparable loss of our tacit knowledge.

So where are we? We don't know what we specifically need in terms of HQP, we can't force a transfer of knowledge even if we knew what to transfer, and we have little time anyway. Nothing like a challenge to bring out the best.

Our main tool is a sharp, agile and motivated mind. Let's look at that.





Can get large effects for small changes in mindset.

It is a question of encouraging the emergence of the desired patterns of behaviour.

It doesn't cost you anything!!



I drew it as linear monotonic functions. It is not. It is highly non-linear and situational dependent, which further obscures true measures of the person.



Competence is related to being in the zone.



Thus schools don't measure / filter in ways that relate to a working environment.



The single biggest reason why people choose an employer is personal growth opportunity.

It is also the single biggest reason why they stay – and leave.

This makes the notion of Mastery very important to the workplace.









How to finance?

-Need a learning grant system that operates like the current research grant system

-Industrial funds can thus be leveraged.

But remember – the mindset change is free.











Involve the experienced people in the process of tacit knowledge transfer via emergence.

Use any and all opportunities



-Tacit knowledge hard to transfer

-Tacit knowledge emerges from a sense of Mastery.

-Proceed with a sense of quality

-Different people learn at different rates and to a different degree

-People are motivated to do things they value, are competent in and that they choose to do.

-Mandates of universities and industry are different. Need to address professional development

-Activities like CANTEACH and UNENE are part of the mix, as are COG, OCI, CNS, CNA, etc.

-These are all elements in making tacit knowledge emerge.

-But it all hinges on the Mastery mindset.















The site contents and this talk fit onto one CD -- about 600 MB



- CANTEACH is about info. UNENE is about delivery. They are complementary.

- UNENE viewed as a product yields research reports and course calendars. UNENE viewed as a process yields HQP (highly qualified personnel).

- trying to promote the vision of CANTEACH as the repository for course and other info that is generated by UNENE.













Involve the experienced people in the process of tacit knowledge transfer



















